

Tumor endothelium-derived PODXL correlates with immunosuppressive microenvironment and poor prognosis in cervical cancer patients receiving radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy



Rui Huang^{1†}, Fuhao Wang^{2†}, Wenxue Zou^{2,3†}, Xiaohui Li⁴, Tianyu Lei⁵, Peihang Li⁶, Yajun Song², Chao Liu^{2*} and Jinbo Yue^{2*}

Abstract

Podocalyxin-like protein (PODXL) is known to originate from tumor cells in several cancers; however, which cell type it is expressed in, whether and how it may contribute to tumor progression after radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer (CC) remain unknown. In this study, we investigated these issues using a cohort of 180 immune stain data, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data of 29,453 cells, and bulk RNA sequencing data from 187 cervical cancer samples treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. ScRNA-seq analysis revealed that *PODXL* was predominantly expressed in tumor endothelial cells (TECs) of CC, which was corroborated by tumor section staining. Moreover, the PODXL expression level was negatively associated with progression-free survival and overall survival of 180 CC patients receiving radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy (both p < 0.001). Furthermore, compared with *PODXL*^{low} TECs, *PODXL*^{high} TECs exhibited a diminished anti-tumor immune response and enhanced tumor-promoting features characteristics. In addition, *PODXL* over-expression was also found to be negatively associated with immune response and indicated poor survival in bulk RNA sequencing data of CC treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. These results underscore the role of PODXL in CC, suggesting it as a promising target and prognostic marker for patients treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy.

Keywords Cervical cancer, Chemoradiotherapy, Immune response, Prognosis

⁺ Rui Huang, Fuhao Wang and Wenxue Zou contributed equally to this study.

*Correspondence:
Chao Liu
charles.liu@whu.edu.cn
Jinbo Yue
jbyue@sdfmu.edu.cn
¹ Department of Oncology, Chongqing University Fuling Hospital,
Chongqing, China
² Department of Radiation Oncology, Shandong Cancer Hospital
and Institute, Shandong First Medical Universityand, Shandong Academy
of Medical Sciences, Jinan, China
³ Department of Oncology, Linyi Center Hospital, Linyi, Shandong, China
⁴ Department of Medical Oncology, Peking University First Hospital,
Beijing, China



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

⁵ Department of Oncology, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

⁶ Department of Radiation Oncology, Tianjin Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, National Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, China

To the Editor,

Cervical cancer (CC) is one of the most common malignancies of the female reproductive system [1, 2]. For locally advanced stages of CC, chemoradiotherapy represents the standard therapeutic approach [3, 4]. However, approximately 23% of patients experience local or metastatic relapses following chemoradiotherapy [5], and the overall prognosis of which remains poor [6]. Therefore, it is crucial to identify novel biomarkers that can provide prognostic indicators for CC patients undergoing radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, potentially serving as targets for optimized combination therapies. The podocalyxin-like (PODXL) protein is reported to be overexpressed in tumor cells and plays an essential role in the tumor progression and metastasis in several cancers [7–11]. However, the role of PODXL in CC remains largely unknown, including the specific cell type in which it is expressed and whether it is associated with prognosis following radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, and how it may contribute to the progression of CC. In this study, we uncovered the distinct role of PODXL predominantly expressed in tumor endothelial cells (TECs) in CC, which differs from its role in other cancers and suggests that it could serve as a valuable therapeutic target and biomarker for CC patients receiving radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy.

Our previous study performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on tissues spanned from normal cervix to advanced cervical squamous cell carcinoma, revealing a subset of endothelial cells with elevated *PODXL* expression, which displayed proliferative traits and reduced survival [12]. However, it remains unclear whether it is specifically expressed in TECs of CC receiving chemoradiotherapy rather than on cancer cells, as observed in other tumor types, and how it contributes to tumor progression. Thus, we analyzed the scRNA-seq data of 29,453 cells from 5 treatment-naive CC patients (Fig. 1A). Ten major cell populations were identified by known lineage markers with NK cells (*KLRB1*), T cells (*PTPRC, CD3E*), B cells (*MS4A1*), myeloid cells (*CD68*), plasma cells (*MZB1*), pDC (*IRF7*), CAF(*PDGFRB*), FAP⁺CAF (*FAP*), epithelial cells (*KRT19*), and TECs (*VWF*) (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A). These cell clusters also exhibited characteristic transcriptional profiles with differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (Fig. S1B). Notably, we found that *PODXL* was predominantly expressed in TECs (Fig. 1B). To further validate the scRNA-seq results, we conducted immuno-fluorescent staining of CC tissue sections, which indicated the predominant expression of PODXL in TECs (Fig. 1C). In conclusion, the above results indicated that *PODXL* serves as a specific marker for TECs in CC.

Furthermore, we explored the relationship between PODXL expression levels and survival outcomes of CC patients treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy within our own cohort. A total of 180 CC patients who received these treatments were enrolled to form the immunohistochemical staining cohort (Fig. S2). A schematic representation of various expression levels of PODXL in CC patients was shown in Fig. 1D. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for this cohort revealed that positive PODXL expression was significantly associated with poor overall survival (OS) and progressivefree survival (PFS) in CC patients with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy (both p < 0.001; Fig. 1E). In this cohort, univariate Cox proportional-hazards model analysis showed that positive PODXL expression, age, tumor pathological type, tumor cell differentiation, tumor stage according to the 2018 FIGO staging system, and treatment regimen were significant predictors of OS and PFS in CC patients receiving radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy (Fig. 1F). These statistically significant variables were subsequently included in the multivariate Cox proportional-hazards model analysis, which identified positive PODXL expression, degree of tumor cell differentiation and treatment strategy as significant predictors of PFS in CC patients who underwent radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy (all p < 0.001, Fig. 1F).

⁽See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 The predominant expression of PODXL in TECs and its prognostic value in CC patients treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy were revealed by our own immunostaining data from 180 CC patients and single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) data of 29,453 cells from 5 CC patients. **A** tSNE plots showing the whole 29,453 cells from scRNA-seq data, colored by cell type and samples origin. **B** tSNE plot illustrating the expression of *PODXL*. **C** Representative immunofluorescent labeling of PODXL (red) and CD31(green) for TECs in tumor sections from CESC samples (Scale bar, 20 μm). Top, positive PODXL expression in TECs; bottom, negative PODXL expression in TECs. **D** Representative immunohistochemical staining patterns of PODXL expression in TECs (Scale bar, 25 μm). Degree of cell staining: top left, no staining, 0 point; top right, yellow, 1 point; bottom left, brown, 2 points; bottom right, dark brown or black, 3 points. **E** Kaplan–Meier survival curves for OS (left) and PFS (right) in CC patients from our own cohort, stratified by positive and negative PODXL expression. The *p*-value of the two-sided log-rank test is show. **F** The Forest plot showing the univariate analyses and multivariate analyses for OS (left) and PFS (right). CC: cervical cancer; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA sequencing; tSNE: t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding; TECs: tumor endothelial cells; PFS: progressive-free survival; OS: overall survival



F

Univariate cox regression analysis for OS

Characteristics	HR (95% CI)		P value
KPS			
≥90	1.439(0.755-2.741)	÷÷+	0.268
<90	reference	i	
Age		1	
≥60	1.721(1.011-2.928)	⊢ •−−→	0.045
<60	reference	-	
PODXL			
Positive	3.44(1.873-6.318)	ı — • — — • — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	- <0.001
Negative	reference	1	
Tumor histology		-	
Squamous	0.169(0.089-0.319)	•	< 0.001
Non-squamous	reference	i	
Tumor differentiation		1	
Well	0.314(0.124-0.795)	•-!	0.014
Moderate-poor	reference		
Treatment		i	
CCRT	0.382(0.201-0.726)	. ♦•i	0.003
Others	reference	1	
FIGO Stage		1	
III-IV	2.055(1.084-3.894)	→	0.027
1-11	reference		
			- -
		0 ∠ 4	o

Characteristics	HR (95% CI)						Pvalue
Age		i					
≥60	1.612(0.888-2.927)		•				0.117
<60	reference						
PODXL		i					
Positive	2.638(1.344-5.181)			•			0.005
Negative	reference						
Tumor histology		1					
Squamous	0.382(0.151-0.961)	•					0.041
Non-squamous	reference	i					
Tumor differentiation							
Well	0.266(0.100-0.708)	•					0.008
Moderate-poor	reference	1					
Treatment							
CCRT	0.362(0.174-0.753)	n 🖛 i					0.007
Others	reference						
FIGO Stage		- i					
III-IV	1.725(0.869-3.427)	, 1	•				0.119
1-11	reference						
		0 1		- 0	4	-	

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

Univariate cox regression analysis for PFS

Characteristics	HR (95% CI)				Pvalue
KPS					
≥90	1.535(0.790-2.983)	` , •			0.207
<90	reference	i			
Age		1			
≥60	1.730(1.007 - 2.973)	L.			0.047
<60	reference	1			
PODXL	101010100				
Positive	3.599(1.922-6.740)		-		<0.001
Negative	reference	1			
Tumor histology		1			
Squamous	0.215(0.110-0.421)	•			< 0.001
Non-squamous	reference				
Tumor differentiation		1			
Well	0.192(0.060-0.620)	♦ = 1			0.006
Moderate-poor	reference	1			
Treatment					
CCRT	0.476(0.245 - 0.927)	•			0.029
Others	reference				
FIGO Stage	1010101000	1			
III-IV	2 744(1 338-5 624)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	0.006
1-11	reference				
· · ·	10.010100			1	
		0 2	4	6	

Multivariate cox regression analysis for PFS

Characteristics	HR (95% CI)					<i>P</i> value
Age		i				
≥60	1.675(0.923-3.042)	ŧ,	•	•		0.09
<60	reference					
PODXL		i				
Positive	3.070(1.539-6.124)					<0.001
Negative	reference	i				
Tumor histology		1				
Squamous	0.422(0.160-1.110)	•+	1			0.08
Non-squamous	reference	i				
Tumor differentiation						
Well	0.167(0.050-0.561)	••				0.004
Moderate-poor	reference					
Treatment						
CCRT	0.434(0.210-0.897)	• • ••				0.024
Others	reference					
FIGO Stage		i				
III-IV	2.332(1.116-4.874)	1				0.024
1-11	reference					
		-			-	
		U	2	4	6	

To investigate how PODXL promote the progression of CC, we further analyzed these TECs in the scRNA-seq data and divided them into two groups (PODXL^{high} TECs and *PODXL*^{low} TECs group) based on the level of *PODXL* expression (Fig. 2A). The two groups of TECs exhibited distinct transcriptomic profiles (Fig. S3). For example, the PODXL^{high} TECs group highly expressed SLC9A3R2, FLT1 and TIMP3 genes, while the genes upregulated in the PODXL^{low} TECs group included ACKR1, MMRN1 and SELP (Fig. 2B). Notably, compared with PODXL^{low} TECs, the PODXL^{high} TECs exhibited higher tumor-promoting characteristics and poorer anti-tumor immune response, evidenced by the upregulation of angiogenesis, endothelial cell development and migration, and epithelial cell differentiation and migration pathways, and the downregulation of immune-related features including antigen presentation and processing, interferon production, and the T-cell activation and B-cell mediated immunity pathways (Fig. 2C-D and Fig. S4). Trajectory analysis of endothelial cell further showed the differentiation from PODXL^{high} TECs to PODXL^{low} TECs, accompanied by the downregulation of angiogenesis-related genes such as FLT1, ESM1 and KDR (Fig. S5). In addition, the cell interaction analysis revealed that the epithelial cells exhibited more interactions with PODXL^{high} TECs than with PODXL^{low} TECs, particularly through the VEGF signaling pathway, promoting endothelial development and angiogenesis (Fig. S6).

To further validate the role of PODXL in CC patients treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, we employed bulk RNA-seq data from 187 CC patients who underwent radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, sourced from the TCGA database. Survival analysis showed that CC patients with high *PODXL* expression (n=60) displayed a poorer prognosis following radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy (HR=1.71, 95%CI=1.01–2.9, p=0.027; Fig. 2E). Then, we performed DEGs analysis between *PODXL*^{high} and *PODXL*^{low} group, and found

1536 up-regulated and 338 down-regulated DEGs in PODXL^{high} group (Fig. 2F). The further gene ontology enrichment analysis of 1536 up-regulated DEGs revealed that the pathways of promoting epithelial cell proliferation were enriched in the PODXL^{high} group (Fig. 2G). Meanwhile, the gene set enrichment analysis validated that the PODXL^{high} group was significantly enriched with the regulation of epithelium development and other pathways promoting tumor progression (Fig. 2H and Fig. S7). In addition, genes associated with epithelial proliferation, migration, and invasion pathways were expressed at higher levels in the *PODXL*^{high} group than the *PODXL*^{low} group (Fig. S8A). This finding was further corroborated by our cohort of 50 CC patients, where we observed that the group with high PODXL expression exhibited lower degrees of pathological differentiation (Fig. S8B). Furthermore, Ki67 immunohistochemical staining revealed that the PODXL^{high} group had a significantly higher percentage of cells with Ki67 positive expression, further suggesting the role of PODXL in promoting tumor proliferation (Fig. S8C). It is also important to acknowledge the limitation that further functional experiments are needed to validate the tumor-promoting characteristics of PODXL^{high} TEC subsets in our future research.

Finally, we evaluated the immune infiltration between the two groups and the results indicated that the *PODX*- L^{low} group exhibited higher antigen presentation cell and T cell co-stimulation density score (both p < 0.05; Fig. 2I). The scores of immune cells (Tfh, Th1, TIL, Th, CD8⁺T, and B cells) in the *PODXL*^{high} group were also lower in the *PODXL*^{high} group (all p < 0.05; Fig. 2J; Fig. S9). Altogether, our findings revealed that overexpression of PODXL was negatively associated with immune response and indicated poor survival in CC patients receiving radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy.

In conclusion, the expression of PODXL in TECs plays a significant role in determining the prognosis of patients with CC treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy.

Fig. 2 The characterization of high *PODXL* expression in CC patients treated with radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy based on scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data. **A** tSNE plots showing the 939 TECs, colored by *PODXL* expression and groups stratified by the *PODXL* expression (with the median cutoff of 1.7). **B** The volcano plot showing the DEGs between *PODXL*^{high} TECs and *PODXL*^{low} TECs in scRNA-seq data. **C** GO and **D** GSEA analysis of scRNA-seq data indicating the upregulated and downregulated biological processes and pathway activities in *PODXL*^{high} TECs, all of which exhibited statistically significant enrichment at *p*.adjust < 0.05. **E** Kaplan–Meier survival curve for progression-free survival of TCGA CC patients underwent radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, stratified by high and low *PODXL* expression (with the optimal cutoff value assigned). The *p*-value of the two-sided log-rank test is shown. **F** Volcano plot showing the differentially expressed genes between the *PODXL*^{high} and *PODXL*^{low} expression CC groups. The colored dots represent the top most variable genes. **G** GO analysis showing the enriched pathway in the *PODXL*^{high} group, *p*.adjust < 0.05. **H** GSEA analysis showing the enriched pathway of regulation of epithelium development pathway in the *PODXL*^{high} CC groups (Wilcoxon test). **J** Violin plot showing the levels of Tfh, Th1, TlL, T helper, CD8⁺ T and B cells between the *PODXL*^{low} and *PODXL*^{logh} CC groups. *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01; ***, *p* < 0.001 (Wilcoxon test). APC, antigen presenting cell; bulk RNA-seq, bulk RNA sequencing; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas; TECs, tumor endothelial cells

⁽See figure on next page.)



Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)

We demonstrated that PODXL, associated with poor prognosis, was specifically expressed in TECs in CC and we also delved into its underlying features, offering new insights into its significance in cancer progression. Therefore, PODXL could emerge as a crucial prognostic marker and therapeutic target in CC patients undergoing radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy.

Abbreviations

PODXL	Podocalyxin-like
CC	Cervical cancer
ScRNA-seq	Single-cell RNA sequencing
TECs	Tumor endothelial cells
OS	Overall survival
PFS	Progressive-free survival
DEGs	Differentially expressed genes

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40364-024-00655-0.

Supplementary Material 1: Figure S1. The identification of cell clusters. (A) tSNE plots showing the marker genes expression for cell type identification. The legend shows a color gradient of normalized expression. (B) Heatmap showing the top five differentially expressed genes of each cell cluster. The intensity of the color indicates the average expression of the genes. tSNE: t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding.

Supplementary Material 2: Figure S2. The baseline characteristics of the 180 patients comprised the immunohistochemical staining cohort.

Supplementary Material 3: Figure S3. Heatmap showing the differentially expressed genes between PODXL high TECs and PODXL low TECs in scRNA-seq data. TECs, tumor endothelial cells; scRNA-seq, single-cell RNA sequencing.

Supplementary Material 4: Figure S4: Gene set variation analysis revealed the comparation of tumor pathways between the PODXL low and PODXL high TECs in scRNA-seq data. ***, p < 0.001 (Wilcoxon test).

Supplementary Material 5: Figure S5. Pseudotime analysis of PODXL low and PODXL high TECs in scRNA-seq data. (A) Three trajectory plots showing the predicted order of cell differentiation, pseudotime, and the expression levels of PODXL . (B) Heatmap showing the dynamic expression patterns of different genes along the pseudotime trajectory. Genes are categorized into four distinct expression patterns, marked by different colors.

Supplementary Material 6: Figure S6. Cell communication analysis of PODXL low and PODXL high TECs with epithelial cells. (A) Differential interaction network illustrating the number of interactions and interaction strength between PODXL low and PODXL high TECs and epithelial cells. The numbers indicate the count of differential interactions/strength. (B) Bar charts of interaction metrics. Left: Number of inferred interactions. Right: Interaction strength. (C) Heatmap showing the importance of different cell roles (Sender, Receiver, Mediator, Influencer) in the VEGF signaling pathway. Darker green indicates higher importance. (D) Heatmap showing the maximum communication probability for different VEGF ligand-receptor pairs. Columns represent the direction of communication. (E) Violin plots showing the expression levels of VEGF ligands and receptors. VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor.

Supplementary Material 7: Figure S7. The feature of PODXL high CC groups in TCGA database. Gene set enrichment analysis showing the enriched pathways in the PODXL high group. NES: normalized enrichment score.

Supplementary Material 8: Figure S8. Analysis of PODXL expression in relation to epithelial cell differentiation and migration. (A) Box plots displaying the expression levels of genes associated with epithelial proliferation, invasion and metastasis in PODXL high and PODXL low groups from the TCGA dataset. (B) Percentage bar chart showing the distribution of differentiation degrees (Low, Low-Middle, Middle, High) in our clinical cohort of 50 patients stratified by PODXL expression levels. (C) Immunohistochemistry analysis of Ki67 expression, comparing the percentage of Ki67-positive cells in PODXL high and PODXL low groups, with representative images and quantification. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 (Wilcoxon test).

Supplementary Material 9: Figure S9. The difference of immune infiltration between the PODXL low and PODXL high CC groups in TCGA database. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 (Wilcoxon test).

Authors' contributions

C.L. and J.B.Y. conceived the project, designed the study and interpreted the results. R.H. and W.X.Z. contributed to sample collection and clinical data collection. F.H.W., performed the data analysis, and prepared the figures. R.H., W.X.Z., X.H.L., and T.Y.L. wrote the manuscript. P.H.L. and Y.J.S checked and embellished the figures. C.L. and J.B.Y. jointly supervised this work. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the following grants: National Natural Science Foundation of China (82272753), Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2021LZL002), Bethune Cancer Radiotherapy Translational Medicine Research Fund (flzh202103), National Natural Science Foundation of China (82403773), Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (GZB20230041) and Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2021QH006).

Availability of data and materials

The data described in this article can be freely and openly accessed at Genome Sequence Archive: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add8977. Additional resources used in this study can be requested from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The present study was approved by Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute (Jinan, China). All patients provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

All authors have reviewed and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 16 April 2024 Accepted: 14 September 2024 Published online: 18 September 2024

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin. 2023;73(1):17–48.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.
- Wenzel HHB, Olthof EP, Bekkers RLM, Boere IA, Lemmens VEPP, Nijman HW, et al. Primary or adjuvant chemoradiotherapy for cervical cancer with intraoperative lymph node metastasis - A review. Cancer Treat Rev. 2022;102: 102311.
- Dyer BA, Zamarin D, Eskandar RN, Mayadev JM. Role of Immunotherapy in the Management of Locally Advanced and Recurrent/Metastatic Cervical Cancer. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network : JNCCN. 2019;17(1):91–7.
- 5. Schernberg A, Bockel S, Annede P, Fumagalli I, Escande A, Mignot F, et al. Tumor Shrinkage During Chemoradiation in Locally Advanced Cervical

Cancer Patients: Prognostic Significance, and Impact for Image-Guided Adaptive Brachytherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018;102(2):362–72.

- Cohen PA, Jhingran A, Oaknin A, Denny L. Cervical cancer. Lancet. 2019;393(10167):169–82.
- Zhang J, Zhu Z, Wu H, Yu Z, Rong Z, Luo Z, et al. PODXL, negatively regulated by KLF4, promotes the EMT and metastasis and serves as a novel prognostic indicator of gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer. 2019;22(1):48–59.
- Larsson A, Johansson ME, Wangefjord S, Gaber A, Nodin B, Kucharzewska P, et al. Overexpression of podocalyxin-like protein is an independent factor of poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2011;105(5):666–72.
- Boman K, Larsson AH, Segersten U, Kuteeva E, Johannesson H, Nodin B, et al. Membranous expression of podocalyxin-like protein is an independent factor of poor prognosis in urothelial bladder cancer. Br J Cancer. 2013;108(11):2321–8.
- Wong BS, Shea DJ, Mistriotis P, Tuntithavornwat S, Law RA, Bieber JM, et al. A Direct Podocalyxin-Dynamin-2 Interaction Regulates Cytoskeletal Dynamics to Promote Migration and Metastasis in Pancreatic Cancer Cells. Cancer Res. 2019;79(11):2878–91.
- 11. Sizemore S, Cicek M, Sizemore N, Ng KP, Casey G. Podocalyxin increases the aggressive phenotype of breast and prostate cancer cells in vitro through its interaction with ezrin. Cancer Res. 2007;67(13):6183–91.
- 12. Liu C, Zhang M, Yan X, Ni Y, Gong Y, Wang C, et al. Single-cell dissection of cellular and molecular features underlying human cervical squamous cell carcinoma initiation and progression. Sci Adv. 2023;9(4):eadd8977.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.