CORRESPONDENCE



MiR-151a: a robust endogenous control for normalizing small extracellular vesicle cargo in human cancer

Miranda Burdiel^{1,2†}, Julia Jiménez^{1,2†}, Carlos Rodríguez-Antolín^{1,2†}, Álvaro García-Guede^{1,2}, Olga Pernía^{1,2}, Ana Sastre-Perona^{1,2}, Rocío Rosas-Alonso^{1,2}, Julián Colmenarejo^{1,2}, Carmen Rodríguez-Jiménez^{1,2}, María Dolores Diestro^{1,3}, Virginia Martínez-Marín⁴, Oliver Higueras⁴, Patricia Cruz², Itsaso Losantos-García⁵, Héctor Peinado⁶, Olga Vera^{1,2*}, Javier de Castro^{1,4†} and Inmaculada Ibáñez de Cáceres^{1,2*†}

Abstract

Small extracellular vesicles (sEVs) in the blood of cancer patients contain higher amounts of tumor markers than those identified as free-circulating. miRNAs have significant biomedical relevance due to their high stability and feasible detection. However, there is no reliable endogenous control available to measure sEVs-miRNA content, impairing the acquisition of standardized consistent measurements in cancer liquid biopsy. In this study, we identified three miRNAs from a panel of nine potential normalizers that emerged from a comprehensive analysis comparing the sEV-miRNA profile of six lung and ovarian human cancer cell lines in the absence of or under different conditions. Their relevance as normalizers was tested in 26 additional human cancer cell lines from nine different tumor types undergoing chemotherapy or radiotherapy treatment. The validation cohorts were comprised of 242 prospective plasma and ascitic fluid samples from three different human tumor types. Variability and normalization properties were tested in comparison to miR-16, the most used control to normalize free-circulating miRNAs in plasma. Our results indicate that miR-151a is consistently represented in small extracellular vesicles with minimal variability compared to miR-16, providing a novel normalizer to measure small extracellular vesicle miRNA content that will benefit liquid biopsy in cancer patients.

Keywords sEVs, miRNAs standardization, miRNA endogenous control in liquid biopsy

[†]Miranda Burdiel, Julia Jiménez and Carlos Rodríguez-Antolín are co-first authors. Miranda Burdiel and Julia Jiménez participated with the same effort in the experimental procedures described in this manuscript. Carlos Rodríguez-Antolín has performed all the bioinformatic analysis needed for the identification and final validation of the key candidates of this manuscript. The three authors participate with same percentage of authorship in patent application EP19382252.5.

[†]Javier de Castro and Inmaculada Ibáñez de Cáceres are senior authors.

*Correspondence:

Olga Vera

olga.vera@salud.madrid.org; olga.verap19@outlook.com

Inmaculada Ibáñez de Cáceres

inma.ibanezca@gmail.com; inma.ibanezca@salud.madrid.org

¹ Biomarkers and Experimental Therapeutics in Cancer, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain $^{\rm 2}$ Cancer Epigenetics Laboratory, INGEMM, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain

³ Gynecologic Oncology Unit, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain
 ⁴ Medical Oncology Department, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain

⁵ Biostatistics Unit, La Paz University Hospital, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain

⁶ Microenvironment and Metastasis Laboratory, Molecular Oncology Programme, Spanish National Cancer Research Center (CNIO), Madrid, Spain



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain and redit line to the data.

To the Editor,

In the study of biological processes, the relevance of the results is determined by the normalization to an endogenous or reference control. In the cellular context, reference parameters have been widely studied and established [1, 2]. However, to date there is no endogenous control for microRNAs specific to the small extracellular vesicle (sEVs) compartment that provide a reproducible normalization. Given that sEV content has been found to be selective with respect to cellular content [3], an incorrect choice of endogenous control may bias the study results. The endogenous control most frequently used for sEV-miRNAs is miR-16 [4, 5], often without validating its homogeneity. Some studies have demonstrated the inadequacy of miR-16 as endogenous control in sEVs [6, 7], and rely on self-identified normalizers for their specific experimental context [8]. This scenario reveals the risk of disparity in the results published centered on sEVsmiRNAs as biomarkers and highlights the urgent need to

Table 1 List of the nine potential endogenous miRNAs identified by small RNA seq. In addition, the gold standard miR-16 normalizer and an external miRNA (miR-451) were used for normalizing purposes

MATURE-ID	Accession Number	Length (pb)	Mean Internal Variability	Mean Internal Variability in ovarian cancer samples	Mean Internal Variability in lung cancer samples	TPM per sample	Log2(Mean Expression) Variance in 30 cancer types
			(log2FC RvsS)	(log2FC RvsS)	(log2FC RvsS)		(TCGA)
hsa-miR- 151a-3p	MI0000809	21	0.084	0.105	0.041	6759	0.32
hsa-miR-22-5p	MI0000078	22	0.169	0.066	0.376	39.33	0.58
hsa-miR-502-3p	MI0003186	22	0.193	0.263	0.052	39.5	0.61
hsa-miR-221-3p	MI0000298	23	0.257	0.356	0.054	851.67	1.67
hsa-miR-1183	MI0006276	27	0.276	0.35	0.129	112.5	NA
hsa-miR-27a-3p	MI000085	21	0.278	0.296	0.242	747.67	6.67
hsa-let-7i-5p	MI0000434	22	0.297	0.441	0.011	9586.5	0.6
hsa-miR-411-5p	MI0003675	21	0.323	0.196	0.579	54.17	3.05
hsa-miR- 196b-5p	MI0001150	22	0.329	0.218	0.55	87	3.67
hsa-miR-16-5p	MI0000070	22	0.477	0.347	0.737	103.34	0.58
hsa-miR-451a	MI0001729	22	3.014	3.37	2.304	8884.5	1.24

R Tumor cells resistant to platinum-based chemotherapy treatment, S Tumor cells sensitive to platinum-based chemotherapy treatment, TPM Transcripts per kilobase million, TCGA Tumor Cancer Genome Atlas

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Amplification cycles of (A) endogenous sEV-miRNA-151a, B endogenous sEV-miRNA-16 and (C) miR-451a measured by gRT-PCR in 172 samples from blood and ascitic fluid from cancer patients and donors. We observed the lowest variation in cycle amplification when analyzing miR-151a compared to miR-16 within the different tumor types assessed and type of samples collected (SD: 1.66 cycles; CV: 0.056, versus SD: 1.91 cycles; CV: 0.080), finding nearly four fewer cycles of variation in miR-151a amplification (8.5 versus 12.3 cycles) (Fig. 1A, B). Compared to miR-451a amplification, which lacks normalizing features, these differences are three times higher (8.5 versus 19.3 cycles) (Fig. 1A and C). A1-A51 (51 advanced stage NSCLC), L1-L28 (28 early-stage NSCLC), OV1-35 (ovarian cancer patients; AF: Ascitic fluid; PL: Plasma), GB 1–12 (glioblastoma patients) and C1-13 (Healthy donors). D Distance to the mean (DM) was calculated using the normalized values in terms of absolute values. Mean CT normalization of each miRNA in each patient was calculated using the triplicate CT values obtained by qRT-PCR from each sample, that was normalized against the mean value of all miRNA analyzed in the total samples evaluated in the assay. The distance to the mean of all miR-151a individual values obtained from each sample was statistically significant with respect to miR-16 (p < 0.001). **E** SD: Standard deviation of the mean value of the amplification cycle and CV: coefficient of variation (SD/mean) calculated related to the amplification cycle of miR-151a and miR-16 for each individual group of plasma samples. The coefficient of variation of the control samples is almost doubled in the case of miR-16 amplification (SD: 1.43 cycles; CV: 0.06 versus SD: 0.96 cycles; CV: 0.033). F SD, CV and DM values for plasma and ascetic fluid from ovarian cancer patients regarding miR-16 and 151a levels. miR-151a values remained closer to the overall mean (DM) than those of the miR-16 in the ovarian cancer plasma samples (p = 0.007) and, although this difference was not found when analyzing the ascitic fluid (p = 0.851). G miR-451 normalized levels with miR-151a or miR-16. A moderate correlation rate, primarily associated with those samples with the highest values of miR-451a, was maintained in this extended cohort when its levels were normalized by miR-151a or miR-16 (R.² = 0.732), R: Correlation coefficient. Spearman's nonparametric correlation test. H Violin plots illustrate the distribution of log2 fold change normalized miR-451a levels. The calibration against miR-151a would provide clear differentiation between two groups of patients behaving differently from the control value, with values above the 75th or below the 25th percentiles. Red dotted lines represent the median and quartiles. ***p < 0.01 and **p < 0.01



Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

identify a sEV-miRNA that can serve as an endogenous control.

Given that chemotherapy treatment represents external damage to the cell that modifies the gene/miRNA expression and methylation profiles [9-11], we considered this scenario ideal to identify stable sEVs-miRNAs candidates in these extreme situations. We first corroborated the isolation of 100 nm sEVs from the cell media and patients plasma using three alternative methodologies (Fig. S1). Next, we compared by small-RNAseq (Supplementary Methods) the sEVs-miRNA profiles obtained from cisplatin-sensitive and -resistant human cancer cell lines (Fig. S2) and found nine candidates with potential as reference controls (Table 1). miR-151a-3p, miR-22 and miR-221 showed a mean absolute internal variability (MIV) close to zero ($|\log 2FC RvsS| \le 0.3$), higher average number of transcripts per kilobase million reads (TPM, \geq 35) and lower variation (log2(Mean Expression) Variance ≤ 2) in 30 tumor types from the TCGA database (Table 1), strengthening their possible sEV content stability. miR-151a-3p showed the lowest MIV (|log2FC RvsS|=0.084) compared to the other miRNAs and, importantly, it was 5.7 times less variable than miR-16 in sEVs from cell cultures, and 1.8 times in the TCGA tumors (0.32 vs. 0.58). This suggests that the differences in miRNA levels are much more evident in sEVs than in tumor tissue and would explain why to date miR-16 has been routinely used as endogenous control.

Using TaqMan-based qRT-PCR-specific amplifications for miR-151a-3p, miR-22, miR-221 and miR-16 (Supplementary methods) in sEVs from 32 human cell lines (Fig. S3A-D, Table S1), we found that miR-151a-3p had the lowest coefficient of variation amongst the miRNAs analyzed (CV = 0.061) and maintained its stability within the different tumor types (Fig. S3A), culture conditions (Fig. S4) and antitumor treatments such as cisplatin and carboplatin (Figs. S2, S3A). Of particular interest are our results regarding ionizing radiation (Fig. S3G, Table S2), where miR-151a-3p appeared to be the candidate with less cycle number variation (2.6 ± 0.055) . To assess whether miRNA normalization ability was maintained for human plasma sEVs-miRNAs, we tested the levels of the four miRNAs in an exploratory cohort of 30 patient samples (Fig. S5A-D, Table S3) followed by analysis of miR-151a-3p and -16 levels in two validation cohorts of 172 and 70 samples (Fig. 1A-C, Figs. S6-S7, Tables S4-S6). miR-151a-3p showed the greatest stability and lower DM in human samples (Fig. 1D), including healthy volunteers (Fig. S6), different tumor types (Fig. 1E), cancer stages, sources (Fig. 1F) and post-treatment samples (Fig. S7). Moreover, normalizing miR-451a levels (Fig. 1C), a recently described sEV biomarker for the prediction of recurrence and prognosis in NSCLC patients [12], to miR-151a-3p and -16 showed partial correlation between them ($R^2_{exploratory} = 0.513$; $R^2_{validation} = 0.732$) (Fig. S5G, Fig. 1G). However, miR-151a distinguishes two patient groups from the controls based on values above the 75th or below the 25th percentiles, while this differentiation disappears with miR-16 (p = 0.0002878, Fig. 1H). Importantly, normalization against miR-151a, but not to miR-16, revealed differences in the sample origin (plasmatic or ascitic fluid, Fig. 1F), which could be beneficial for clinical use.

In summary, miR-151a stands out as the optimal endogenous control for normalizing sEVs-miRNA levels in both normal and tumor conditions, across a wide range of tumor types and antitumoral treatments. Its outstanding performance positions it as the most promising candidate thus far. Utilizing miR-151a in liquid biopsy tests will ensure reliable results for diverse basic, translational, and clinical studies.

Abbreviations

miRNAs	Micro-RNAs
sEVs	Small extracellular vesicles
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
NTA	Nanoparticle tracking analysis
WB	Western blot
CDDP	Cisplatin
MIV	Mean Internal Variability
TCGA	The Cancer Genome Atlas
TPM	Transcripts per kilobase million reads
CBDCA	Carboplatin
SD	Standard deviation
CV	Coefficient of variation
DM	Distance to the mean
NSCLC	Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40364-023-00526-0.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Characterization of sEVs derived from human cancer cell lines, plasma samples and ascitic fluid. Figure S2. Cell viability after treatment with platinum-based drugs such as cisplatin (CDDP) and carboplatin (CBDCA). Figure S3. Amplification cycles of endogenous sEV-miRNAs in human cancer cell lines. Figure S4. Correlation between miR-151a-3p amplification cycle in sEVs isolated from the secretome of H1299, A2780, MCF7 and SW780 cell lines culture in the presence of either sEVs-depleted FBS (X axis) or absence of FBS (Y axis). Figure S5. Amplification cycles of endogenous sEV-miRNAs in 30 human samples. Figure S6. miR-151a-3p cycle amplification in the sEVs isolated from human samples. Figure S7. miR-151a-3p cycle amplification in the sEVs isolated from the second validation cohort of human samples. Table S1. Raw CT values of miR-151a-3p, miR-22-5p, miR-221-3p, miR-16-5p, miR-451a and their normalized levels using all the endogenous miRNAs in the sEVs compartment from human cancer cell lines and 293T. Table S2. Raw CT values of the endogenous miRNAs in sEVs compartment from 41M cells treated with radiotherapy at 0, 2, 4 and 6 Gy. Table S3. Raw CT values of miR-151a-3p, miR-22-5p, miR-221-3p, miR-16-5p, miR-451a and its normalized levels using all the endogenous miRNAs in circulating sEVs from 14 NSCLC patients (nine advanced and five early stage), six ovarian cancer patients (three plasma and three paired ascitic fluid), five glioblastoma patients and five healthy volunteers. Table S4. Raw CT values of miR-151a-3p, miR-22-5p, miR-221-3p, miR-16-5p, miR-451a and its normalized levels using

all the endogenous miRNAs in circulating sEVs from 79 NSCLC patients (51 advanced and 28 early stage). **Table S5.** Raw CT values of miR-151a-3p, miR-22-5p, miR-221-3p, miR-16-5p, miR-451a and its normalized levels using all the endogenous miRNAs in circulating sEVs from 35 ovarian cancer patients. **Table S6.** Raw CT values of miR-151a-3p, miR-22-5p, miR-221-3p, miR-451a and its normalized levels using all the endogenous miRNAs in circulating sEVs from 12 miR-22-5p, miR-221-3p, miR-25, miR-451a and its normalized levels using all the endogenous miRNAs in circulating sEVs from 12 glioblastoma patients and 13 healthy volunteers. **Table S7.** Cell line authentication using Gene-PrintR10 kit (Promega, USA). Genomics Service of the iiBm CSIC-UAM.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Morote translation services for its assistance with the language editing and HULP-IdiPAZ Biobank for sample processing and the cell culture facility at IdiPAZ. We also thank the financial support.

Author' contributions

Conceptualization, MB, IIC. Methodology, MB, JJ, CRA, AGG, OP, ASP, RRA, JC, CRJ. Formal analysis: MB, JJ, CRA, AGG, OP, ASP, RRA, JC, CRJ, IL, OV, IIC. Investigation, MB, JJ, CRA, AGG, OP, ASP, RRA, JC, CRJ, MDD, VMM, OH, PC, IL, OV, HP, JDC, IIC. Resources MDD, VMM, OH, PC, IL, HP, JDC, IIC. Writing original draft, MB, OV, IIC. Writing, review and editing, MB, JJ, CRA, AGG, OP, ASP, RRA, JC, CRJ, MDD, VMM, OH, PC, IL, OV, HP, JDC, IIC. Writing original draft, IMB, OV, IIC. Writing, review and editing, MB, JJ, CRA, AGG, OP, ASP, RRA, JC, CRJ, MDD, VMM, OH, PC, IL, OV, HP, JDC, IIC. Supervision, IIC. Project administration, IIC. Funding acquisition, JDC, IIC. Authorship: We declare that all the authors of this study have directly participated in the planning, execution, or analysis of the study, and all the authors have read and approved the final version submitted, adhering to the quidelines of the ICMJE.

Funding

Instituto de Salud Carlos III and co-funded by the European Union under Grants: PI18/00050; PI21/00145; DTS20/00029. HHRR from ISCIII: CP19/00063; FI19/00061; JR21/00003; CA22/00002; CD22/00040. This work was also supported by Caixa-Impulse Validate program under CI20-00182. This work was also supported by Fundación Mutua Madrilena AP180852022.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the GEO repository, number GSE204944.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All samples were processed following the standard operating procedures with the appropriate approval of the Human Research Ethics Committees, including informed consent within the context of research (HULP: PI-3508 and -5063).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 27 July 2023 Accepted: 15 September 2023 Published online: 20 October 2023

References

- 1. Barber RD, Harmer DW, Coleman RA, Clark BJ. GAPDH as a housekeeping gene: analysis of GAPDH mRNA expression in a panel of 72 human tissues. Physiol Genomics. 2005;21(3):389–95.
- Eisenberg E, Levanon EY. Human housekeeping genes, revisited. Trends Genet. 2013;29(10):569–74.
- Villarroya-Beltri C, Baixauli F, Gutierrez-Vazquez C, Sanchez-Madrid F, Mittelbrunn M. Sorting it out: regulation of exosome loading. Semin Cancer Biol. 2014;28:3–13.

- Warnecke-Eberz U, Chon SH, Holscher AH, Drebber U, Bollschweiler E. Exosomal onco-miRs from serum of patients with adenocarcinoma of the esophagus: comparison of miRNA profiles of exosomes and matching tumor. Tumour Biol. 2015;36(6):4643–53.
- Lange T, Stracke S, Rettig R, Lendeckel U, Kuhn J, Schluter R, et al. Identification of miR-16 as an endogenous reference gene for the normalization of urinary exosomal miRNA expression data from CKD patients. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(8):e0183435.
- Gouin K, Peck K, Antes T, Johnson JL, Li C, Vaturi SD, et al. A comprehensive method for identification of suitable reference genes in extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1347019.
- Ni Q, Stevic I, Pan C, Muller V, Oliveira-Ferrer L, Pantel K, et al. Different signatures of miR-16, miR-30b and miR-93 in exosomes from breast cancer and DCIS patients. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):12974.
- Li Y, Zhang L, Liu F, Xiang G, Jiang D, Pu X. Identification of endogenous controls for analyzing serum exosomal miRNA in patients with hepatitis B or hepatocellular carcinoma. Dis Markers. 2015;2015:893594.
- Cortes-Sempere M, de Miguel MP, Pernia O, Rodriguez C, de Castro CJ, Nistal M, et al. IGFBP-3 methylation-derived deficiency mediates the resistance to cisplatin through the activation of the IGFIR/Akt pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene. 2013;32(10):1274–83.
- Soto JA, Rodriguez-Antolin C, Vera O, Pernia O, Esteban-Rodriguez I, Dolores Diestro M, et al. Transcriptional epigenetic regulation of Fkbp1/ Pax9 genes is associated with impaired sensitivity to platinum treatment in ovarian cancer. Clin Epigenetics. 2021;13(1):167.
- Pernia O, Belda-Iniesta C, Pulido V, Cortes-Sempere M, Rodriguez C, Vera O, et al. Methylation status of IGFBP-3 as a useful clinical tool for deciding on a concomitant radiotherapy. Epigenetics. 2014;9(11):1446–53.
- Kanaoka R, Iinuma H, Dejima H, Sakai T, Uehara H, Matsutani N, et al. Usefulness of Plasma Exosomal MicroRNA-451a as a Noninvasive Biomarker for Early Prediction of Recurrence and Prognosis of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Oncology. 2018;94(5):311–23.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

